

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/108,463	07/01/1998	LANNY JOE MULLENS	GEO4142	2442	
7:	590 11/06/2002				
MAURICE J JONES MOTOROLA INC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT SUITE R3108			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, TOAN D		
P O BOX 10219 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 852710219		PAPER NUMBER			
00011021102	2665				
		DATE MAIL ED: 11/06/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

	•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
	^d Office Action Cummons	09/108,463	MULLENS ET AL.	M			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL WO DATE of this account of		Toan D Nguyen	2665				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	aress			
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 are period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 10 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period valure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) it. cause the application to become	ry a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	: mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	<u> August 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal i <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935	matters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is			
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>21-29</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>21-29</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applica	tion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	- , , ,	disapproved by the Examine	er.			
40\C	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority —	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
а) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	n Application No				
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bui See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		application).			
;	 a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	visional application ha	s been received.	,			
Attachme		,,	JU				
1) 🔲 Noti 2) 🔲 Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(se of Informal Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/108,463

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al. (U.S. Patent 5,570,366) in view of McCreery et al. (U.S. Patent 5,787,253).

For claims 21-23 and 26-29, Baker et al. disclose broadcast/multicast filtering by the bridge-based access point comprising:

creating the IP packet comprising:

a virtual internet protocol address corresponding to a plurality of physical end nodes served by an access point (figure 3, col. 3 lines 6-13 and col. 8 lines 46-50); and a data field comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/108,463

Art Unit: 2665

a destination identification corresponding to one of the physical end nodes of the plurality of physical end nodes, said one of the physical end nodes being a destination for the IP packet (figure 7, col. 9 lines 3-7); and

user data (col. 8 line 49);

sending the IP packet over a first RF network to the first access point (col. 8 lines 51-53).

wirelessly transmitting, by the first access point, the IP packet to a second access point (col. 8 lines 51-53);

alternaltively transmitting by the first access point the IP packet to a second network, the second network being wired (col. 8 lines 51-53);

determining by each of the plurality of physical end nodes whether it is the destination for the IP packet (col. 9 lines 7-10).

However, Baker et al. do not disclose decoding, by the plurality of physical end nodes, the data field of the IP packet. In an analogous art, McCreery et al. disclose decoding, by the plurality of physical end nodes, the data field of the IP packet (figure 8, col. 13 lines 33-35). One skilled in the art would have recognized an IP packet decoder to use teaching of McCreery et al. in the system of Baker et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention, to use the IP packet decoder as taught by McCreery et al. in Baker et al.'s system with the motivation being to provide information such as administrative data regarding the timing and sequence of the packets exchanged between nodes (col. 13 lines 35-42).

Art Unit: 2665

For claims 24-25, Baker et al. disclose determining by the plurality of physical end nodes that are not the destination of the packet that the IP packet is not for them (col. 9 lines 7-10).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on August 20, 2002 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (7:00AM-4:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 703-308-6602. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

T.N.

ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Man vo. voo